

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,125	08/09/2001	Larry Scheurich	6115-58351	5893
24197 7590 KLARQUIST SPA	01/16/2007 RKMAN, LLP	EXAMINER		
121 SW SALMON			TO, BAOQUOC N	
SUITE 1600 PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2162	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PER	RIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/925,125	SCHEURICH ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Baoquoc N. To	2162	
The MAILING DATE of t Period for Reply	his communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FI - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extende Any reply received by the Office later th earned patent term adjustment. See 37	ROM THE MAILING DA ler the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. the maximum statutory period w d period for reply will, by statute, an three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 66(a). In no event, however, may a re rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this of the NOONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status				
·— ··	2b)⊠ This in condition for allowar	//2006. action is non-final. ace except for formal matte fx parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4)	) is/are withdray lowed.  9-68, 71, 73-75 is/are represented to.  ect to restriction and/or content to by the Examine	ejected. election requirement.		
Applicant may not request	that any objection to the et(s) including the correct	drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul><li>2. Certified copies o</li><li>3. Copies of the cert</li></ul>	None of:  f the priority documents  f the priority documents  ified copies of the prior  ne International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No received in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	wing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application _	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 69 and 72 are canceled and claim 1, 36, 39, 71 and 73 and 75 are amended in the amendment filed on 08/21/2006.

Claims 1-34, 36-37, 39-68, 71, 73-75 are pending in this application.

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 36, 39 and 71-72 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Objections

3. Claim 37 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 37 recites "computer readable medium comprising computer execution instructions for performing the method of claim 36" which is a independent claim not a dependent claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

### MPEP 2106 IV. B.2. (b)

A claim that requires one or more acts to be performed defines a process.

Application/Control Number: 09/925,125 Page 3

Art Unit: 2162

However, not all processes are statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. Schrader, 22 F.3d at 296, 30 USPQ2d at 1460. To be statutory, a claimed computer-related process must either: (A) result in a physical transformation outside the computer for which a practical application in the technological arts is either disclosed in the specification or would have been known to a skilled artisan, or (B) be limited to a practical application within the technological arts.

4. Claims 1-34, 36-37, 39-68, 71, 73-75 in view of the above cited MPEP section, are not statutory because claims they merely recite computing steps without producing any concrete and useful result and being limited to a practical application within the technological arts. The claim directs to data structure which is non-functional descriptive material. The claims lack the necessary physical articles or objects to constitute a machine or a manufacture within the meaning of 35 USC 101. They are clearly not a series of steps or acts to be a process nor are they a combination of chemical compounds to be a composition of matter. As such, they fail to fall within a statutory category. They are, at best, functional descriptive material per se. Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." Both types of "descriptive material" are nonstatutory when claimed as descriptive material per se, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31 USPQ2d at 1759. When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994). Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material, i.e., abstract ideas, stored on a computer-readable medium, in a computer, or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, does not make it statutory. See Diehr, 450 U.S. at 185-86, 209 USPQ at 8 (noting that the claims for an algorithm in Benson were unpatentable as abstract ideas because "[t]he sole practical application of the algorithm was in connection with the programming of a general purpose computer.").

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bhargava et al. (US. Patent No. 5,855,019) Patent date: 12/29/1998.

Application/Control Number: 09/925,125

Art Unit: 2162

Carino, Jr. et al. (US. Patent No. 6,085,223) Patent date: 07/04/2000.

Lymann et al. (US. Patent No. 6,507,844 b1) Patent date: 01/14/2006.

#### **Contact Information**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baoquoc N. To whose telephone number is at 571-272-4041, or unofficial fax number for the purpose of discussion (571) 273-4041 or via e-mail Baoquoc N. To@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:00 AM – 4:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached at 571-272-4107.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follow:

(571) –273-8300 [Official Communication]

BQ To BQ

November 26th, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 4